

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,302	662,302 09/16/2003		Ennis T. Ogawa	TI-33455.1	1776
23494	7590	08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INS	TRUM	ENTS INCORPOR	STEVENSON, ANDRE C		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2812	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.55	4.	10/662,302	OGAWA ET AL.				
Οπισε Ασ	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andre' C. Stevenson	2812				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification.  - Failure to reply within the second of the period for reply is specification.	OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  the mailing date of this communication.  ied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  cified above, the maximum statutory period we  et or extended period for reply will, by statute,	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ne 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is F		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>15-35</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9) The specificatio	n is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		ion is required if the drawing(s) is objaminer. Note the attached Office					
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
a) All b) So  1. Certified  2. Certified  3. Copies of application	me * c) None of:  copies of the priority documents  copies of the priority documents  f the certified copies of the prior  on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cit		4) Interview Summary	•				
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2812

## **Detailed Action**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See below;

Applicant Argues that the terms "active diffusion volume" are defined in the specification at page 17, lines 5 to 9, by the simultaneous intersection of the interconnect volume diffusion volume, and stress gradient region at a specific site within a device structure. Hence, active diffusion volume is the smallest overlapping portion of the three volumes surrounding a vulnerable SIV site.

Examiner has considered the applicants argument but have not found it persuasive. The applicant states in the disclosure (pg. #4, line 15-21), that the problem of voids (SIV) exist in devices utilizing back-end technologies. Thus, although Yang does not mention explicitly "Active Diffusion Volume", it is still present in his invention utilizing backend technology. The Examiner takes the position that the claim language, as it is written now, reads on the invention of Yang.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 2812

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 15-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yang et al. (U.S. Pat. No.6,468,894, Patented 10/22/02, Filed 03/21/01).

Yang shows, in figures 1-12 and corresponding text, in a method where damascene interconnects both electrically active and inactive are formed, with respect to claim #15, 21 and 29, pertaining to a semiconductor device structure comprising: a first metallic interconnect (fig. 7, item 14 & fig 12, item 56); a second metallic interconnect (fig, 7, item 28 & fig.12, item 64) (column 3, lines 45-67; column 4, lines 1-5; column 5, lines 18-30); a primary via structure (any one of item 30 in fig 7, or any one of item 70 in fig 12), disposed between and electrically intercoupling the first and second metallic interconnects (column 5, lines 31-40); and a buffer structure (fig. 7, item 32, 34 and 36, or any one of item 30 remaining from the primary via structure, or fig 12, item 68, 72 and 74, or any one of item 70 remaining from the primary via structure), disposed upon the first metallic interconnect in proximity to the primary via structure, and adapted to buffer the primary via structure from diffusive voiding occurring at a contact point between the primary via structure and the first metallic interconnect (column 5, lines 31-40; column 4, lines 30-50). Note that the Examiner considers it inherent, that for example, items 32, 34, 36 and any one of 30, apart form the primary via structure, would buffer the primary structure from diffusive voiding in that the vias 32, 34 and 36 are "capable" of remedying the conventional prior art problem of diffusive voiding by providing alternatives to the primary via structure regarding diffusion issues. Pertaining to Claim #16, Yang also shows that the second metallic interconnect and the primary via structure are copper-based dual damascene structures. (Fig. 8-12 item 62, 64 and 66; column 1, lines 47Art Unit: 2812

52; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim #17, Yang shows, wherein the buffer structure comprises a second via structure, disposed between and electrically intercoupling the first and second interconnects. (Fig. 12, item 72, 74 and any one of item 70 remaining from the primary via structure; Fig 7, any one of item 30 remaining from the primary via structure; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim 18, Yang shows, wherein the buffer structure comprises a second, electrically inactive, via structure (item 36), disposed upon the first metallic interconnect (item 14) proximal to the primary via structure (Fig. 7). Pertaining to claim #19, Yang shows, wherein the buffer structure comprises of an electrically inactive structure disposed upon the first metallic interconnect to immediately and completely surround the primary via structure. (Fig. 7-12, item 32, 34, 36, 68, 72; column 6, lines 22-33; column 5, lines 49-54). Pertaining to claim #20, Yang shows, wherein the buffer structure comprises: a second via structure, disposed between and electrically intercoupling the first and second metallic interconnects (any one of item 30 remaining from the primary via structure); and a third electrically inactive (item 36), via structure, disposed upon the first metallic interconnect (item 14) proximal to the primary via structure (column 5, lines 32-42 and lines 49-54). Pertaining to claim #22, Yang shows, wherein the redundant structure comprises plural redundant structures (fig. #12; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim #23, Yang shows, wherein the redundant structure is operative relative to diffusive redundancy only (fig. #12; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim #24 Yang shows, wherein the redundant structure is operative relative to electrical redundancy (fig. #12; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim #25, Yang shows, 21 wherein said device has a copper-based, dual-damascene structure having an active diffusion volume within the dual-damascene structure relative to a location within the dual-damascene

Application/Control Number: 10/662,302

Art Unit: 2812

structure to which voids can migrate (fig. #8; column 3, lines 52-67; column 4, lines 1-5; column 5, lines 55-67). Pertaining to claim #26, Yang shows, wherein said device has a copper-based, dual-damascene structure having an active diffusion volume within the dual-damascene structure relative to a location within the dual-damascene structure to which voids can migrate (fig. #12; column 5, lines 55-65; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim #27, Yang shows, wherein said device has a copper-based, dual-damascene structure having an active diffusion volume within the dual-damascene structure relative to a location within the dual-damascene structure to which voids can migrate (fig. #8; column 3, lines 52-67; column 4, lines 1-5; column 5, lines 55-67). Pertaining to claim #28, Yang shows, wherein said device has a copper-based, dual-damascene structure having an active diffusion volume within the dual-damascene structure relative to a location within the dual-damascene structure to which voids can migrate (fig. #8; column 3, lines 52-67; column 4, lines 1-5; column 5, lines 55-67). Pertaining to claim #30, Yang shows, wherein said structure is at least one via extending from said first layer and spaced from said second layer (Fig. 12, item 72, 74 and any one of item 70 remaining from the primary via structure; Fig 7, any one of item 30 remaining from the primary via structure; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim #31, Yang shows, wherein said at least one via is a plurality of vias (fig. #12; column 6, lines 22-33). Pertaining to claim #32, Yang shows, wherein said plurality of vias are equidistant from said via and spaced apart (fig. #4; column 4, lines 30-50). Pertaining to claim #33, Yang shows, wherein said structure is at least one electrically insulating slot disposed in said first layer and within said active diffusion volume (column 3, lines 52-67; column 4, lines 1-5). Pertaining to claim #34, Yang shows, wherein said at least one slot is a plurality of spaced apart slots (fig. #4; column 4, lines 30-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,302

Art Unit: 2812

Pertaining to claim #35, Yang shows, wherein said structure further includes at least one electrically insulating slot disposed in said first layer and within said active diffusion volume (Abstract; column 3, lines 52-67; column 4, lines 1-5).).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure; Dirahoui et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,492,259), Chuang (U.S. Pat. No. 6,828,223), Motsiff et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,573,538), Hasegawa et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,452,274).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' Stevenson whose telephone number is (571) 272 1683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,302

Art Unit: 2812

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt, can be reached on (571) 272 1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956. Also, the proceeding numbers can be used to fax information through the Right Fax system;

(703) 872-9306

Andre' Stevenson

08/18/05

MICHAEL LEBENTHITT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER